	Case 1:21-cv-00794-NODJ-GSA Docum	ent 36	Filed 01/03/24	Page 1 of 2
1				
2				
3				
3				
5				
6				
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10	TORTHE ENSTERNA DISTRICT OF CHEM OR VIII			
11	REGINALD GIBSON,	l No	1:21-cv-00794-N	NODL-GSA (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	110.	1.21 00 00774 1	(OD) GD/I (I C)
13	V.	OR	DER ADOPTING	FINDINGS AND
14	E. CASTELLANOS, et al.,	RE	RECOMMENDATIONS AND DISMISSIN DEFENDANT C. RILEY FOR PLAINTIFI	ONS AND DISMISSING
15	Defendants.	FA	FAILURE TO EFFECT SERVICE OF PROCESS	
16	Bereitaines.		CF No. 29)	
17			,	
18	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, filed this civil rights			
19	action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States			
20	Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.			
21	On September 21, 2023, the Magistrate Judge issued findings and recommendations,			
22	recommending that the Court dismiss Defendant C. Riley from this action due to Plaintiff's			
23	failure to effectuate service of process. (ECF No. 29.) Specifically, the Magistrate Judge found			
24	that Plaintiff failed to provide sufficient information to the United States Marshal to effect service			
25	of process on Defendant C. Riley. (Id. at 1-2.) The findings and recommendations contained			
26	notice to all parties that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed by			
27	October 13, 2023. (Id. at 3.) That deadline has passed, and Plaintiff has not filed objections to			
28	the findings and recommendations.			
	1			

Case 1:21-cv-00794-NODJ-GSA Document 36 Filed 01/03/24 Page 2 of 2 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), the Court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having reviewed the file, the Court concludes that the findings and

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

recommendations are supported by the record and proper analysis.

- 1. The findings and recommendations issued September 21, 2023 (ECF No. 29) are adopted in full;
- 2. Defendant C. Riley is dismissed from this action, without prejudice, due to Plaintiff's failure to provide sufficient information to locate Defendant in order to effectuate service of process pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m); and
- 3. This matter is referred back to the Magistrate Judge for further proceedings.

DATED: January 2, 2024.

HIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE